



2013 Annual Project Review (APR)

Project Implementation Review (PIR) OF UNDP Supported GEF Financed Projects

PIMS 4073 - Project Title: Sustainable Land Management in Shifting Cultivation Areas of Nagaland for Ecological and Livelihood Security

Focal Area Multiple Focal Area

Lead RTA

Lead Country(ies) (IND) India

Revised Planned Closing Date 31-Dec-2015

Overall Risk rating Low

Overall DO rating Satisfactory

Overall IP rating Satisfactory

GEF grant amount disbursed so far 2,790,877

Project Summary

Develop, demonstrate and upscale sustainable land management practices for the conservation of jhum (shifting cultivation) lands in the North Eastern State of Nagaland through an ecosystem approach.

UNDP-GEF Technical Advisor's Comments

Explanation for change to Overall DO Rating or Overall IP Rating:

No change

Is this the terminal PIR that will serve as the final project report? No

If the mid-term review (MTR) OR the terminal evaluation (TE) was started but not completed this reporting period, please explain how these are progressing and note if any delays are expected:

If the mid-term review (MTR) OR the terminal evaluation (TE) was completed this reporting period, or if this is the final APR/PIR, please address the following points here:

UNDP Country Office's Comments

If the mid-term review (MTR) OR the terminal evaluation (TE) was started but not completed this reporting period, please explain how these are progressing and note if any delays are expected:

If the mid-term review (MTR) OR the terminal evaluation (TE) was completed this reporting period, or if this is the final APR/PIR, please address the following points here:

Evaluation Recommendation or Issue 1. 1. Immediately initiate project implementation hiatus

Management Response: One of the immediate actions taken post MTR was to discontinue some of the planned activities for 2012 and accordingly, the budget was revised and reduced. Consultations were held at different levels- with senior state officials, technical experts, local communities and village council leaders to prepare a more comprehensive implementation plan.

Output 1.1. Revision of annual work plan: In view of the suggested hiatus of some of the activities, the AWP has been revised and the budget reduced. Activities to be undertaken for the year have also been revised accordingly.

Output 1.2 Recommendations for strengthening the policy and regulatory environment affecting jhum lands: - an expert consultation was held on 11 September 12 to review the work done so far and to chart out a roadmap of activities to be carried out. Several recommendations were made which will help to strengthen the ongoing activities.

Output 1.3 Guidelines for integrated land-use planning at the landscape/ village level: - the project team is currently preparing a village level land use report through sustained interaction with the village council and local communities

Output 2.3 Capacity building of farmers, government extension workers, and Village Councils: - This is an ongoing process

Output 3.2 Documentation of project experiences with improved land management techniques and approaches at the village level: - Though this is also an ongoing process, better quality reports to capture case studies will be prepared. A process documentation report has also been prepared.

Recommendation 2: Request a no-cost extension

Management response: This is ongoing. A letter has been sent from the NPD to teh GEF OFP for extension of two years.

Recommendation 3: Create a strategic work plan to guide project implementation.

Management Response: Consultations are being held with the local communities and stakeholders to prepare a strategic and simple work plan or road map till project completion.

- 3.1. Preparation of land use plan: The project officers in each of the three districts are conducting a land use study of the project villages, in consultation with the local communities. This will help in strategic planning and implementation of the project in the villages. In addition, an expert has been hired to support preparation of the participatory land use plan. The PLUP has been conducted for 4 villages so far. This will be done for all teh project villages.
- 3.2. International best practices: As mentioned above, a technical expert with experience on shifting cultivation has been hired to support the team in sustainable land management practices.
- 3.3. Setting up a centre of excellence: The project will support the existing Research Centre managed by the Soil and Water Conservation department in incorporating best practices on SLM into their curricula. At present, the institute has limited infrastructure and other facilities. On ground, field based learning in the project sites for the students will be supported. Similarly, the research centre will be used as a training facility for farmers on SLM.

Evaluation Recommendation 4: Increase project implementation oversight and technical support

Management Response: A new management has taken over in the PMU and a number of changes have been initiated in terms of implementation oversight. There will be stronger linkages and coordination with agriculture and allied departments both at the district and state level. This will help to support the planning process at the state level and strengthen the potential for replication.

- 3.1 Project management and monitoring at the state level: A new directive has been issued by the new Project Director that supports stronger implementation and accountability at the state and the district levels. These include a) deputation of one staff from the soil and water conservation (SWC) department solely to support implementation of the project in each of the three districts; b) a supervisory team of four members from UNDP and SWC to monitor field activities monthly; c) meeting of project team once a month to present and discuss progress; d) the same monthly report to be submitted to UNDP;
- 3.2 Linkages with other state and district level initiatives and schemes: At the state level, the project is represented at the State Level Planning that is convened monthly by the Agricultural Produce Commissioner. The district project officer represents the project in the district level planning committees headed by the District Collector. This has helped in coordination and ensured reduction in duplication of different initiatives.

Dates of Project Steering Committee/Board meetings during reporting period:

March 2013

PROGRESS TOWARD DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES

Description	Description of Indicator	Baseline Level	Target Level at end of project	Level at 30 June 2009	Level at 30 June 2010	Level at 30 June 2011		Level at 30 June 2013
To develop, demonstrate	No change in primary forest	Baseline measured in Y1	In Y4, improved forest			The project area	Introduction of activities	Improved forest
and upscale sustainable	cover in project sites		cover or remains the same			comprises of 70	under the project such as	cover, soil and
land management practices			as in baseline			villages spread over	jhum fallow management	water conservation
for the conservation of						the 3 districts of Mon,	through plantations like	measures across
jhum (shifting cultivation)						Mokokchung and	indigo, betelvine and	18,508.90 Ha.
lands in Nagaland through						Wokha in Nagaland. As	economically viable crops	Activities such as
an ecosystem approach						per the baseline, the	such as orange,	jhum fallow
						total reserved forest	arecanut,peach,plum,litchi,	management
						area in project districts	broom grass and bamboo	through plantation
						is: a) 23,102.4ha -	plantations has helped to	and bamboo
						Mokukchung b) 495ha	directly/indirectly improve	plantation like
						Mon, and c) 7,280ha -	forest cover, protect soil	Alder, tree beans,
						Wokha. Introduction of	erosion and increase the	khokon, local fuel
						activities under the	water recharge capacity	wood and
						project such as settled	across 640 ha. Project	leguminous crops
						agriculture and	implemetation strategy for	and economically
						promotion of	these activities include	viable crops such as
						commercially viable	participatory planning,	cardamom has
						plantations such as	awareness creation,	helped directly or
						rubber, agar, tea and	institution building,	indirectly. Project
						tapioca are helping to	integrated farm	implementation
						stablise forest cover	development for	strategy for these
						across 10,500 ha.	sustainable land and	activities includes
						Project implemetation	ecological management.	participatory
						strategy for these		planning, awareness
						activities include		creation, institution
						participatory planning,		building for
						awareness creation,		sustainable land and
						institution building,		ecological
						integrated farm		management.
						development for		
						sustainable land and		

			ecological management	i.	
Land area where improved jhum agroforestry systems are in place		90,000 hectares of land covering approximately 70 villages in 3 districts by Y4	Through an ir approach to i jhum agro for systems, 11,4 have been con three project with plantation horticultural agronomic croas rubber, agraphorea, gmelarborea, tree alder and brook lmproved agraphores such and water	improve forestry systems in three project district ha have been covered in through soil and was azolla cultivation, wand provisioning, credit facilities and plantation ar, tea, lia agronomical crops so orange, plum, peach arecanut and broom grass. To-forestry has soil aim is to promote al integrated farm development approted. promote diffarm agricultural practice graphore distainable cultural	agro forestry systems in the three project districts, 12,537.30 ha have been covered through soil and water conservation measures, azolla cultivation, water provisioning and plantations of forest, horticultural and agronomical crops such as cardamom, tree beans, alder tree, bamboo, soya bean,
Decrease in rates of soil erosion in project sites	Baseline for project sites to be measured in Y1; erosion rates for the target districts are estimated as:	Same or less than baseline	contribute in MDG goals. The survey coby the Soil an Conservation Department if for the entire	onducted The survey conducted the Soil and Water Conservation Departin 2006-7 in 2006-7 for the enestate is being used a	conducted by the tment project team, the soil erosion rate has decreased after
			being used as data. Anothe survey will be conducted in	of soil conservation measures undertake	at the rate of 0.2

		T			T
				been conducted and found	the soil erosion rate
			late 2011 - early 2012	out that soil erosion rate	conducted in this
			to assess the impact of	has decreased from	project)
			the soil conservation	baseline. These have been	
			activities undertaken in	achieved through the	
			the project. There has	promotion of soil and	
			been an observation	water conservation	
			that the soil erosion	measures, forest,	
			rate has decreased	horticulture and agro	
			from baseline in the	based plantations.	
			project sites where	F	
			forest, horticulture,		
			agro-based crops, soil		
			and water		
			conservation measures		
			are undertaken.		
			are undertaken.		
	Mokokchung: 60	Same or less than baseline	50 mt/ha/year	40-45 mt/ha/year	Mokokchung-36-40
	mt/ha/year				mt
	. , ,				
	Mon: 40-50 mt/ha/year	Same or less than baseline	30-35 mt/ha/year	25-30 mt/ha/year	Mon-21-25 mt
	Wokha: 40-50 mt/ha/year	Same or less than baseline	30-40 mt/ha/year	30-35 mt/ha/year	Wokha-17-20 mt
Increase in incomes of	Baseline to be measured	10% improved income	As per the baseline	Follow up of the previous	The income of 1008
target communities	during the project inception		conducted in the first	year interventions show a	households in three
	phase		year of the project, the	significant increase in the	project districts has
	·		average annual	-	increased by 15-20%
			household income in	-	through access to
			the project districts is	Socio-economic impacts of	_
			as follows: a)	-	facilities, agriculture
			Mokukchung: INR		Revolving fund and
			54,308 b) Mon: Rs.		sales from increased
			15,020 and c) Wokha:		yield of the jhum
			Rs 20,018. It has been		fields.
			observed that the	indicated an increase of	inclus.
			annual income has		
				15% and 12 % increase in	
			increased by atleast	children access to proper	
			20% in comparison	education. Accessibility to	
			with the baseline for	credit facilities through	

	1	T	1	1			T	T 1
							Revolving Fund for 350	
						-	household reported	
					mo	ore detailed study	improvement in income by	
					wil	ll be conducted in	15% through improved	
					20	12 to assess the	seeds, agriculture	
					lar	ger socio-economic	implements and subsidiary	
					im	pacts of the project.	enterprise.	
The policy, regulatory and	Strengthened Agriculture	Policy does not support	Policy explicitly supports		Sin	ice the project is	Significant support has	Community-based,
institutional environment in	frameworks that explicitly	enhancing sustainability of	enhancing sustainability of		on	ly in its 2nd year,	been extended by line	landscape level land
support of jhum	support enhancing	jhum systems	jhum systems by Y4		the	ere are no concrete	departments towards	use plans have been
agroforestry systems is	sustainability of jhum				res	sults in terms of	strengthening agriculture	developed for four
strengthened	systems				ро	licy change or	framework to enhance	villages to
					inf	luence yet. These	sustainability of jhum	strengthen the
					res	sults are expected to	cultivation. At the	existing traditional
					be	more evident by the	community level,	land management
					3rd	d or 4th year. The	dissemination of	systems.
					pro	oject is attempting	information through	Community based
					to	strengthen	awareness about the	Land use
					cod	ordination with line	significance of sustainable	committees have
					de	partments to	management of jhum	been formed to
					sup	pport linkages and	lands and proper land use	ensure the
					ne	tworking with	planning is noticable.	implementation of
					far	mers. So far,	These include sharing of	the plan. Land use
					sig	nificant support has	project experiences and	plan will be
					be	en extended by line	best practices in different	developed for all the
					de	partments to the	forums like the Project	project villages. The
					pro	oject. Project	Steering Committee,	plan will include
					F	periences and best	_	good practice
					pra	actices are being	to reach target community	
					F	ared and	such as Village Councils,	outline the key
					dis	seminated in	Village Development	steps and process
							Boards, Farmer	for stakeholders to
							Associations and relevant	come together and
						-	policy makers. These	discuss how to
						d regional level	results will be more	manage lands
						orkshops to reach	evident by the 4th year.	sustainability.
						get audiences such		
						Village Councils,		
						lage Development		
					VIII	idae Developinent		

			Boards, Farmer Associations and relevant policy makers. Sharing of project experiences in the above mentioned fora with strategic target		
			audience as well as focussed discussions/dialogues with policymakers would help in linking/influencing the relevant regulations at a later stage.		
Creating enabling environment in Forest regulations that explicitly recognize and support improved jhum systems as sustainable agroforestry systems that improve forest health	Stresses adverse environmental impact of jhum	Explicit recognition and support for improved jhum systems as sustainable agroforestry systems that improve forest health by Y4	In two years, the project activities have helped in reducing the pressure of jhum cultivation on environment both directly (through field level interventions) as well as indirectly through the following - (i) Sharing of project experiences in the above mentioned fora with strategic target audience as well as focussed discussions/dialogues with policymakerswhich would help in linking and influencing the relevant regulations at a later stage; (ii)	results will be evident from the field that will be expected to influence changes in policy that support sustainable jhum agroforestry systems. The regional workshop held in 2011 provided technical inputs that helped to streamline some of the project activities, and led to a more rigorous implementation of integrated farm development (IFD). The IFD concept showed concrete results and generated interest from other	documentation of the traditional land use practices and participatory resource mapping has supported the village council in strengthening the existing regulation on land and forest management. Community based land use action plan

					I	T	
					North East Regional	the same concept can be	
						shared with	
					to share experiences	decisionmakers and other	
					and best practices on	stakeholders. Another	
					livelihoods, ecology	workshop is planned for	
					and socio cultural	October-November 2012	
					aspects of Jhum	when experiences on the	
					cultivation. In addition,	impact of improved jhum	
					since the PSC	cultivation will be shared	
					membership comprises	amongst stakeholders.	
					of very senior		
					government officials		
					(at the Secretary level)		
					from agriculture and		
					allied departments		
					(rural development,		
					horticulture,		
					sericulture, forest, soil		
					and water and animal		
					husbandry), the		
					project benefits from		
					high quality strategic		
					inputs from them. This		
					high profile PSC		
					membership will also		
					be vey useful in		
					creating an enabling		
					regulatory		
					environment for		
					improved jhum		
					cultivation over the		
					remaining project		
					period.		
	Credit provisioning systems	No support for extending	Provisions for extending		Presently 30 self help	The promotion of the	Credit provisioning
	enabled for farmers who	credit to farmers who work	credit to such farmers are		groups have been	revolving fund concept for	has been provided
	work on communally	on communally owned	integrated into the policy		selected (10 from each	the Self Help Groups	to 350 households,
	owned lands	lands	by Y4		district) to promote	accessing the credit facility	including those
					the revolving fund	is bearing prominent	farmers who work
					concept to enable	results with all the 30 SHG	on communally
L			A = = : 1	O of 44	1	1	

1	1	1			T	I
				them to access credit	_	owned lands.
				facilities at the micro	project districts. Linkages	
				level. The groups were		
				selected on the basis	banks is being initiated	
				of: a) Socio-economic		
				status: Most of the		
				beneficiaries selected		
				are below poverty line		
				(BPL) status. b)		
				Involvement in farm		
				based activities. c)		
				Availability of bank		
				account and exisitience		
				of book keeping and		
				accounting skills The		
				dialogue with local		
				banks, to facilitate		
				institutional credit		
				linkages is expected to		
				begin shortly and		
				progress will be		
				reported in the next		
				reporting period.		
				. oh a		
Integrated land-use	No guidelines	Draft guidelines approved		The project is	The project continues to	Participatory
planning at landscape level	Tro Baracinies	by Y2		promoting an		integrated land use
encouraged and		~,		integrated land use	,	plans have been
strengthened.				system by supporting		developed in four
Strengtheneu.						villages and
				integrated farms	_	approved by the
				covering	watersheds. Four IFDs	village councils. The
				approximately 1000		same process will be
				hectares in the three	this reporting period at the	
				districts in partnership		project villages.
				with line departments.	farm lands. The benefits	
				These Integrated Farm	and learning from these	
				Development (IFD)	IFD models have been	
				model projects will	shared among	
				include community	policymakers and	
				farmlands and	stakeholders. This	

		, ,	r			1
				plantations. The	intervention was	
				benefits and learnings	thoroughly reviewed at the	
				from these IFD models	3rd PSC meeting and	
				will be shared and	positive response received.	
				disseminated among	The project team is	
				policy makers and	currently working closely	
				stakeholders.	with the local stakeholders	
				Discussions on	and village council	
				developing guidelines	members to document	
				will be held in the next	land use systems in the	
				PSC to get views of the	villages and will also	
				various stakeholders.	explore possibilities of	
					strengthening integrated	
					land use systems in the	
					villages. This will be	
					analysed and compiled by	
					an expert that will be hired	
					this year.	
					, , , , ,	
Increase in joint extension	Extension activities are	In target villages all		Convergence and	Joint extension services at	The project
•	undertaken separately	extension services are		_	the project sites are being	
departments (agriculture,	, ,	coordinated according to				out after proper
horticulture, S&WC, land		an integrated plan by Y2		is being carried out		consultation and
resource development,				successfully at the	Moreover, convergence	coordination with
forest, animal husbandry)				state level where a		other line
7,				structured approach		departments, civil
				for extension activities	·	society
				is in place. From there	successfully. This is being	organizations and
				the funds flow to the	achieved by regular	local institutions.
				respective	coordination meetings	Local institutions are
				departments in the	with the line departments	
					through regular and timely	-
				villages. This is being		implementations,
				achieved by regular		such as planning,
				coordination meetings	monitoring and evaluation.	
				with the allied		implementations
				departments through		and monitoring of
				regular and timely	=	the project.
				planning, assessment,	continue to play a key role	
				implementation,	in the planning and need	workshop and paper

	T	Г	Ţ	T		T	
					monitoring and	assessment, selection of	presentation on
					evaluation. The IFD	project areas and	Land use plan has
					concept which was	beneficiaries and ensuring	· ·
					introduced recently is	smooth implementation of	conducted with the
					also being	the project in their	line departments
					implemented with	respective villages.	and further
					technical and financial		consultation with
					contribution from line		the line
					departments. This		departments has
					coordination activity is		been planned under
					one of the few		the chairmanship of
					smoothly implemented		the Agriculture
					inter departmental		Production
					initiatives in Nagaland.		Commissioner,
					In additon, the Village		government of
					Councils and Village		Nagaland.
					Development Boards		
					play a key role in the		
					planning and need		
					assessment, selection		
					of project areas and		
					beneficiaries and		
					ensuring smooth		
					implementation of the		
					project in their		
					respective villages.		
Options for improving the	Land productivity indicator	Baseline measured in Y1	Productivity improved by		A separate baseline	The project has	The project has not
sustainability of jhum	(measure of returns from		5% over the baseline		has not been		specifically
agroforestry systems are	farming calculated as				established for the		conducted a
developed and	outputs minus inputs, e.g.				land productivity		scientific study or
demonstrated in selected	yield minus inputs)				indicator. As the main	covering 640 ha with	measurement of the
project sites (70 villages	, .c.aasp acs,				source of income is	different agro-forestry and	
spread over the 3 districts					agriculture, increase in	= -	jhum fields but it is
of Mon, Mokokchung and					income is being used	•	evident from the
Wokha in Nagaland)					to gauge the increase	_	semi-structured
Translation (interviews with the
					the time being.		farmers that the
					However, a detailed	· ·	quality and quantity
					land productivity		of the jhum produce
			A = = 1	10 11	iana productivity	system leading to	or the jiluin produce

T	1				
			assessment is planned	improved land productivity	· ·
			for 2012, during the	in a short span. Though a	substantially. A
			same that the soil	technical soil fertility study	
			sampling survey will be	has not been undertaken,	jhum productivity
			conducted. In the 3	farmers have observed	study will be
			project districts, the	increase in soil moisture	conducted in the
			project has	content. There is also a	year 2013-14.
			· ·	marked improvement in	
			land based activities in	the quality of crops grown	
			an integrated manner.	in the IFD areas. These	
			11,478 ha have been	measures include contour	
			developed with	bunding, bund cropping,	
			different agro-forestry	bench terracing, trenching,	
			and horticultural	half moon terracing,	
			systems. Integration of	mulching and plantation.	
			scientific and	In addition beekeeping,	
			indigenous soil	vermicompost, azolla,	
			conservation measures	organic manure,	
			were implemented	integrated fish cum paddy,	
			leading to improved	duck, cattle farming are	
			land productivity.	supported at the project	
			These measures	sites for enhancing land	
			include contour	productivity. Another	
			bunding and cropping,	indicator of improved soil	
			terracing, half moon	fertility is the change in	
			terracing, mulching	land use pattern in the	
			plantation of	jhum land. Traditionally, a	
			leguminous and non	jhum land is abandoned	
			leguminous crops and	after two years of	
			nitrogen fixing trees in	cultivation, mainly due to	
			agro-forestry systems;	decreased soil fertility.	
			and crop rotation and	Another patch of forest	
			inter cropping. In	land is then cleared for the	
			addition	next rotation. After the	
			vermicompost, organic	introduction of soil	
			manure, integrated	conservation measures,	
			fish and paddy farming	farmers are cultivating in	
			are supported.	the same piece of land for	
			Approximately 4000	more than 2 years. This	
			households have been	has been observed in all	
	 \	40 -f 44	J	1	

			based activities and the average annual household income has increased by atleast 20% for 28% of the households.	the IFD lands.	
Lengthening of jhum cropping phase	2 years	3 years by Y4	in late 2009, it is difficult to conclude whether the jhum cropping phase will continue for more than 2 years. At this point, at least 20-25% of the farmers in the project sites still continue to cultivate in the same jhum lands. This trend is expected to continue	It is observed that the jhum cropping phase has increased from two years to three years, where 80% of the total intervened areas have continued for the third consecutive year of cultivation. Promotion of soil and water conservation measures, plantations and organic manures inputs has enabled the farmers to cultivate the same plot of land for the third year.	Through the project intervention on integrated land based, plantations and water based activities, it has improved the sustainability of jhum agroforestry systems and increase in land productivity, thereby increasing the jhum cropping phase from 2 years to 3 years across the three project districts.

			project covering roughly 10% of the total project area.		
Lengthening of jhum f	allow 8 years	9 years	and encouragement of settled agriculture through scientific management is expected to increase the fallow phase to at least 10 years by the end of the fifth year of the project. While meeting the objective of arresting land degradation in jhum	It is expected that the fallow phase will increase atleast by one year from baseline with the introduction of plantations, cash crops and encouragement of improved agricultural practices through scientific management. With the increase in the cropping phase from two to three years, it is also expected to contribute in lengthening the fallow phase.	employment opportunities,
Contribution of incom from sale of (organica grown) produce to loc economy increases	lly	Increase of 5% over baseline. Effort will be made to include as much as women beneficiaries as possible (say 50%)	1	income of families. Approximately 1500 households have been supported for land based activities and the average annual household income has increased by at least 15%. A	Sale of organic grown produce by the women self help groups has reported an increase of annual family income by 15-20% in 1009 households across the three project districts.

			here as well. As p	r the	
			baseline conducte	d in	
			the first year of th	e	
			project, the avera	ge	
			income per house	nold	
			is as follows: a)		
			Mokukchung: INR		
			54,308 b) Mon: R		
			15,020 and c) Wo	ha:	
			Rs 20,018. Most o	the	
			income is obtaine	I	
			from the jhum far	ns.	
			Approximately 40	00	
			households have	een	
			supported and th		
			average annual		
			household incom-	has	
			increased by atlea	st	
			20% for 28% of th		
			households. While		
			organic farming i	a	
			traditional praction	2,	
			the concept of		
			certification is no		
			commonly knowr	and	
			is an area of		
			intervention the		
			project may wish	О	
			consider.		
Number of v		target 300 women beneficiaries	Under the project	Women continue to	More than 1400
_	om marketing villages in Y1	(100 from each district)	more than 3000	involve actively in	women from the
of produce t	rom jhum fields		women beneficia		three project
			are actively involv	ed in produce from jhum fields.	districts have
			daily or seasonal	More than 450 women	directly benefited
				from beneficaries are reported	from marketing of
				fore, this reporting year. There	produce from jhum
			while a baseline h	is an increase in income of	fields in this
			not been formally	targeted women by about	reporting period.
			established, the		

				project has exceeded the target of 300 beneficiares. A study will be conducted in 2012 to assess the larger socio-economic benefits.	25%.	
Enhanced capacity to replicate the projects policy reform and field-level experiences in other parts of Nagaland, as well as in other States of India, where shifting cultivation agro forestry systems are prevalent.	other districts and states to visit project sites and obtain assistance from the Center of Excellence	0	At least 5-6 requests by Y4			Request for GEF- UNDP assisted SLEM project have been received from 28 villages within the project districts and 3 other districts, namely Peren, Phek and Kiphire. Learnings from the project will be replicated at least to two North east Indian states where jhum cultivation is prevalent.
	Plan for extending project strategy to additional villages and districts with associated resource commitments from government	0	By Y4, at least 3 more districts have a budgeted plan for replicating			A North East regional workshop on sustainable shifting cultivation practices has been planned for 2013-14 for replication in other states of the region. Within two years of project extension phase, Land Use Plans will be conducted for non-project districts of Nagaland. The

				Land Use Plan and
				other good practices
				from the project will
				be shared and
				disseminated to
				other Agriculture
				research centers in
				Nagaland for
				replication.

RATINGS OF PROGRESS TOWARD MEETING DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES

DO Rating: Please review the Develo	opment Objective Progress page of this APR/PIR and then			
answer the questions below. A DO rating will be generated based on your answers.				
1 Please rate the cumulative progress bein framework in the DO page of this APR/PIR	ng made toward achieving the end-of-project targets as reported in the project results			
2 Please rate the likelihood that the proje completion?	ct will deliver environmental and social benefits for an extended period after project			
Please rate the likelihood that social or p	political risks may threaten the sustainability of project outcomes			
Project Manager/Coordinator: Is the	e person managing the day to day operations of the project.			
MANDATORY RATING MUST BE PROVIDED for proj	ects under implementation in one country or regional projects where appropriate.			
Please justify your rating and address the following 1200 words maximum.	points in your comments. Please keep word count between 500 words minimum and			
1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating.			
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative, in achievement of outcomes as per the updated indicators provided in the DO sheet.			
3.	Fully explain the critical risks that have affected progress.			
4.	Outline action plan to address projects with DO rating of HU, U or MU.			
Overall 2009 Rating				
Overall 2010 Rating				
Overall 2011 Rating	Satisfactory			
Overall 2012 Rating	Satisfactory			
2013 Rating	Highly Satisfactory			
Comments	1. Explain why you gave a specific rating. Through various activities and interventions of the project, there has been a remarkable achievement towards the overall objectives of the project. It has developed, demonstrated and up-scaled sustainable land management practices for the conservation of jhum lands in the three project districts through an ecosystem approach. The project has strengthened agriculture frameworks that explicitly support enhancing sustainability of jhum systems through formations of Village Land Use Committees and based on existing good practice guidelines, community-based, landscape level land use planning were developed. The guidelines have outlined the key steps and process for stakeholders to come together and discuss how to manage lands for the benefit of present and future generations to ensure livelihood security and ecological sustainability of lands and resources. The purpose of the planning process was to develop management and governance strategies that respond to scientific understanding of natural and social systems as well as changing societal conditions and values. Integrated land-use planning at landscape level were			

encouraged and strengthened. The participatory land use planning was initiated by the project in collaboration with the government of Nagaland for sustainable land and ecosystem management intervention to support improved land use in areas degraded by intensified shifting cultivation practices. The whole process has also created enabling environment in forest regulations that explicitly recognize and support improved jhum systems for sustainability and improved forest health. The impact of soil conservation measures undertaken in three project districts have been conducted and found out that soil erosion rate has decreased from the baseline. These have been achieved through the promotion of soil and water conservation measures, forest, horticulture and agro based plantations. The project has identified and implemented various techniques for reducing the adverse impacts of jhum systems on biodiversity and enhanced their role in controlling land degradation. Lengthening of jhum cropping phase has been achieved from 2 years to 3 years across the three project districts, through the project intervention and has improved the sustainability of jhum agroforestry systems and increase in land productivity. Through the project intervention on integrated land based, plantations and water based activities, it has improved the sustainability of jhum agroforestry systems and increase in land productivity, thereby increasing the jhum cropping phase from 2 years to 3 years across the three project districts. Lengthening of jhum fallow phase, The fellow phase has been remarkably increased by at least 2 years in all the project districts. In some of the project villages the fellow phase has increased up to 14 years. 2. Note trends, both positive and negative, in achievement of outcomes as per the updated indicators provided in the DO sheet. Positive Trends: The lengthening of jhum cropping phase from 2 years to 3 years and lengthening of jhum fallow phase by at least 2 years in all the project districts is a notable positive trend that the project has made. By leaving more time for the fallow period, it has enhanced the productivity, production and value of jhum fields. The recent experience has shown that the communities are now actively involved in demonstration activities and adoption of improved approaches. Through the introduction of participatory land use planning at landscape level, a Village Land Use Committees has been formed and guidelines for landscape level land use planning were developed. The guidelines have outlined how to manage lands and to ensure livelihood security and ecological sustainability of lands and resources. The communities were also facilitated in developing management and governance strategies that respond to scientific understanding of natural and social systems. Negative Trends: Promotion of cash crops such as Tea plantation and Rubber cultivation is a potential threat to food security and can pose a challenge to the local ecosystem. 3. Fully explain the critical risks that have affected progress. a. Most alternative models are cash crops and horticulture based, but the absence or poor development of infrastructure and institutions in the state has hindered the achievement for sustainability. b. Individual land ownership systems that is prevalent in the state, has posed a great challenge for proper land use management and planning.

UNDP Country Office Programme Officer: Is the UNDP programme officer in the UNDP country office who provides oversight and supervision support to the project.

MANDATORY RATING MUST BE PROVIDED for projects under implementation in one country. Not necessary for regional or global projects.

Please justify your rating and address the following points in your comments. Please keep word count between 500 words minimum and 1200 words maximum.

1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating, for example, if your rating differs from the rating provided by the project manager please explain why.
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative, in achievement of outcomes as per the updated indicators provided in the DO sheet.
3.	Fully explain the critical risks that have affected progress.
4.	Outline action plan to address projects with DO rating of HU, U or MU.
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	(MS) Moderately Satisfactory
Overall 2012 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
2013 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
Comments	This reporting period has been crucial for the project due to a number of critical changes that have taken place in the structure and approach leading to strategic turnaround in intervention. Part of the change is also related to the turnover in the management team (which is dealt with in detail in the IP rating section). The strategic change is in response to the findings of the mid-term review in 2012 and a parallel internal review and brainstorm meeting conducted within the team. Amongst others, the MTR noted interesting learnings from the field such as the relevance of the integrated farm development, while also pointing out the need for a comprehensive integrated watershed based land use plan. The internal review suggested the need for upscaling the documentation on traditional land use practices and village resource mapping that were being conducted in the project. Both the assessments indicated the lack of an integrated land use plan which affects resource planning and management and project activities. As a result, the focus broadened to an integrated and participatory land use planning (PLUP). In addition, a participatory rural appraisal (PRA) was carried out with a specific focus on the different land use practices to reflect more clarity on the overall approach to the project. PLUP has been conducted for four villages till date. All the project villages will be covered in 2014. Though land use planning activities have been carried out before, this is perhaps the first PARTICIPATORY LUP conducted in Nagaland where the communities have a major role and often led the planning process. The local administration also supported the initiative by participating and providing inputs in the discussions and expressed willingness to take this forward. The land use plan, if implemented in the right spirit, will bring transformational changes to the project and strengthen the initiatives already taken in the past years and fit it into the larger administrative and governance structure. In addition, communities are

Project Implementing Partner: Is the representative of the executing agency (in GEF terminology). This would be Government (for NEX/NIM execution) or NGO (for CSO Execution) or an official from the Executing Agency (for example UNOPS).

RECOMMENDED but NOT MANDATORY for projects under implementation in one country and

regional projects.	
Please justify your rating and address between 200 words minimum and 500	the following points in your comments. Please keep word count 0 words maximum.
1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating.
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative, in achievement of outcomes as per the updated indicators provided in the DO sheet.
3.	Provide recommendations for next steps.
Project Implementing Partner	
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	
Overall 2012 Rating	
2013 Rating	
Comments	
GEF Operational Focal point: Is the GEF operation focal point.	government representative in the country designed as the
HIGHLY RECOMMENDED but NOT necessary for regional or global projections.	mandatory for projects under implementation in one country. Not cts.
Please justify your rating and address between 200 words minimum and 500	the following points in your comments. Please keep word count 0 words maximum.
1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating.
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative, in achievement of outcomes as per the updated indicators provided in the DO sheet.
3.	Provide recommendations for next steps.
GEF Operational Focal point	
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Occasell 0044 Detices	
Overall 2011 Rating	

2013 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
Comments	The rating is "S" – i.e. "Satisfactory" because 1. The project is progressing well. The efforts towards soil and water conservation, improving the productivity of jhum lands, reviving the community decision-making practices on land use are commendable. These efforts take time and concerted action. The participatory land use plan documentation being done under this project is note worthy. The interest and involvement of the State Govt of Nagaland is encouraging. 2. The proposed technical study on jhum productivity will be crucial. There is a need to discuss the findings of this study widely with jhum practicing communities and states within India and abroad. This will help is strengthening the policy / guidelines on jhum on a rational / scientific basis.
	emented projects, a representative of the other Agency working
with UNDP on project implemen	ntation (for example UNEP or the World Bank).
RECOMMENDED but NOT MAND	DATORY for jointly implemented projects.
Please justify your rating and addition between 200 words minimum and	ress the following points in your comments. Please keep word count downwards maximum.
1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating.
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative, in achievement of outcomes as per the updated indicators provided in the DO sheet.
3.	Provide recommendations for next steps.
Other Partners	
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	
Overall 2012 Rating	
2013 Rating	
Comments	
UNDP Technical Adviser: Is the	UNDP-GEF Technical Adviser.
MANDATORY RATING MUST BE	PROVIDED for all projects.
	ress the following points in your comments. Please keep word count
between 500 words minimum and	1200 words maximum.

1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating (do not repeat the project objective).
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative, in achievement of outcomes as per the updated indicators provided in the DO sheet.
3.	Fully explain the critical risks that have affected progress.
4.	Outline action plan to address projects with DO rating of HU, U or MU.
UNDP-GEF Technical Adviser	
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	(MS) Moderately Satisfactory
Overall 2012 Rating	(MS) Moderately Satisfactory
2013 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
Comments	This is the third PIR of the project. The project has fully recovered from the initial implementation delays. It has implemented several of the MTR recommendations and has refocused efforts to generate lasting impacts both at the site (farm) level and policy/institutional levels. The progress of the project in achieving its development objectives and outcomes is assessed as S - 'satisfactory'. The project is on track to achieve several of its objective level indicators. For example the improved forest cover, soil and water conservation measures has been applied to 18,508 ha while improved Jhum agroforestry now covers around 12,537 ha while the income of households involved in project activities has increased by 15-20% through project interventions and soil erosion rates have considerably decreased in all project locations. In addition the project has made several notable achievements during the reporting period. The most significant include the various strategic land use planning documents that have been prepared. Community-based, landscape level land use plans have been developed for four villages to strengthen the existing traditional land management systems. These are implemented through community based Land use committees; in the process documentation of the traditional land use practices and participatory resource mapping has also been carried out; to translate the landscape level plans to village level, participatory integrated land use plans have been developed in four villages and approved by the village councils. These have been complemented with several initiatives implemented to support SLEM activities by local communities. For instance coordinated extension services has been provided by the various line Departments while the project has also begun providing credit

(350 households) to cover costs of land management improvement measures adopted by farmers. Likewise, the project reported several on-site improvements such as 'reported' improved sustainability of jhum agroforestry systems with jhum cropping phase increases of upto 3 years and possible increase in fallow phase to 8-9 years. Finally the project has been able to influence replication of its approach to at least to two North east Indian states where ihum cultivation is prevalent. To further such adoption of practices developed by the project and promote cross-fertilization of ideas, a North East regional workshop on sustainable shifting cultivation practices has been planned for 2013-14. The project focus on improving shifting cultivation practices in Nagaland is highly relevant in the local context, where population increase, increasing economic demand and changing lifestyles pose challenges for the sustainability of swidden agriculture. The project generated a series of highly successful demonstration sites for best practices of SLM as described above and is managed by a highly motivated team of young professionals well versed in SLM techniques. At the same time, there is a valid threat that project intervention remains limited to the above mentioned demonstration sites and will not be able to achieve effects at scale, unless approaches to successfully multiply SLM interventions receive more focus. Specific recommendations to this end include the following:(a) Wholesale adoption of the Participatory Land-Use Planning a framework, based on which technical SLM interventions should be implemented; (b) The Government of Nagaland co-financing to the project should be targeted towards implementing these technical SLM interventions, instead of currently being used to finance regular line Department activities; (c) There is an urgent need to involve government stakeholders into the land-use planning process at the state and district levels; (d) Technical standards of the presently practiced land-use planning process have to be raised by involving the Nagaland GIS & Remote Sensing Center into the land-use planning process; (e) Issues of water quality, river hydrology, biodiversity conservation, etc. should be considered in the land-use planning process by involving relevant government stakeholders; (f) Economic return-to-land calculations should be worked out more accurately in land-use plans to support effective up-take; (g) while the project staff as well as staff of DSWC should receive more exposure on participatory SLM approaches to be able to plan and implement SLEM activities better; (h) Participatory SLM approaches should be included in the training curriculum of the training center in Zubsa, where Soil and Water Conservation Assistants are trained; (i) Organize farmers' exchange programs to places where technical SLM interventions have been implemented based on priorities identified though participatory land-use plans. Going forward the project should consider implementing majority of the recommendations outlined above. Some of them such as the application of participator y land use planning approach and integration of SLM into training curriculum of Soil and Water Conservation Assistants are

	already been planned. This is encouraging. The project should continue to mobilize the strong field level results to support policy review and change at the state level.
Highly Satisfactory (HS)	Project is expected to achieve or exceed all its major global environmental objectives, and yield substantial global environmental benefits, without major shortcomings. The project can be presented as 'good practice'.
Satisfactory (S)	Project is expected to achieve most of its major global environmental objectives, and yield satisfactory global environmental benefits, with only minor shortcomings.
Moderately Satisfactory (MS)	Project is expected to achieve most of its major relevant objectives but with either significant shortcomings or modest overall relevance. Project is expected not to achieve some of its major global environmental objectives or yield some of the expected global environment benefits.
Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU)	Project is expected to achieve its major global environmental objectives with major shortcomings or is expected to achieve only some of its major global environmental objectives.
Unsatisfactory (U)	Project is expected not to achieve most of its major global environment objectives or to yield any satisfactory global environmental benefits.
Highly Unsatisfactory (HU)	The project has failed to achieve, and is not expected to achieve, any of its major global environment objectives with no worthwhile benefits.

IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS RATING

IP rating: Please review the Implementation Progress page of this APR/PIR and then answer the questions below. An overall IP rating will be generated based on your answers.

- Please rate the progress in delivery of outputs. For example, do the annual outputs represent sufficient progress in order to achieve the project outcomes (see DO page of this APR/PIR)?
- Please rate the efficiency in delivery of outputs. For example, in this reporting period are budget resources being spent as planned? (i.e. is project delivery on target?)
- Please rate the quality of risk management. For example, in this reporting period were project risks managed effectively?
- Please rate the quality of adaptive management. For example, in this reporting period were actions taken to address implementation issue identified in the APR/PIR last year?
- 5 Please rate the quality of monitoring and evaluation. For example, in this reporting period were sufficient financial resources allocated to project monitoring and evaluation

Project Manager/Coordinator: Is the person managing the day to day operations of the project.

MANDATORY RATING MUST BE PROVIDED for projects under implementation in one country or regional projects where appropriate.

Please justify your rating and address the following points in your comments. Please keep word count between 500 words minimum and 1200 words maximum.

1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating.
2.	Summarize annual progress and address timelines of projec output/activity completion in relation to annual workplans.
3.	Outline the general status of project expenditures in relation to annual budgets, the effectiveness of project management units in guiding project implementation, and the responsiveness of the project board in overseeing project implementation.
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
Overall 2012 Rating	(HS) Highly Satisfactory
2013 Rating	(HS) Highly Satisfactory
Comments	1. Explain why you gave a specific rating. Most of the activities planned in Annual Work Plan 2012 and 2013 (till June) were successfully implemented, in spite of the change of Project Director and post vacancy of Project Coordinator for nearly five months. The project activities are carried out after proper consultation and coordination with other line departments, civil society organizations and

local institutions. Local institutions are involved in every level of the project implementations, such as planning, selections, implementations and monitoring of the project. Through the project intervention on integrated land based, plantations and water based activities, it has improved the sustainability of jhum agroforestry systems and increase in land productivity, thereby increasing the jhum cropping phase from 2 years to 3 years across the three project districts. Sale of organic grown produce by the women self help groups has reported an increase of annual family income by 15-20% in 1009 households across the three project districts and more than 1400 women from the three project districts have directly benefited from marketing of produce from jhum fields. 2. Summarize annual progress and address timelines of project output/activity completion in relation to annual work plans. Output 1.1: Community-based, landscape level land use plans have been developed for four villages to strengthen the existing traditional land management systems. Community based Land use committees have been formed to ensure the implementation of the plan. Land use plan will be developed for all the forty project villages. Output 1.2: Participatory Rural Appraisal was conducted in nine villages of the three project districts. Output 1.3: Project Steering Committee meeting held in the month of March 2013, where the chairperson has recommended for extension of the project for another 2 years. 1.4: Conducted capacity building of government extension workers of allied departments and village councils on participatory land use plan. Output 1.5: Participatory resource mapping and documentation of traditional land use practices carried out in all the project villages. Output 2.1: Leguminous seeds and lime were distributed to the farmers for fallow management of jhum lands. Output 2.2: Improved jhum activities such as soil conservation measures, plantations, water conservation measures, livestock, beekeeping and soil emoluments were carried out in 419.3 ha across the three project districts. Output 2.3: Build partnerships with other agriculture extension institutes to provide training to farmers and distribution of improved seeds. Output 3.1: request for assistance of GEF-UNDP SLEM project has been received from 28 villages of three project districts and three other non intervention districts. Output 3.2: Extension of project for two more years has been recommended by Project Steering Committee and has been sent to Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India. 3. Outline the general status of project expenditures in relation to annual budgets, the effectiveness of project management units in guiding project implementation, and the responsiveness of the project board in overseeing project implementation. The project management unit carry out regular monitoring visits to the project sites for effective management of the project. During the visits, technical inputs and guidance were provided while verifying the activities at the project sites. Experts from different fields were also invited and along with the program management unit, joint visits to the project sites were carried out. The project board continues to provide guidance and support and provides constructive suggestion for effective implementation of the project. Progress of the project is presented to the board in a regular basis. Consultation with the line departments has been planned under the chairmanship of the Agriculture Production Commissioner, government of Nagaland for increase of joint extension activities. The

	T
	project board has recommended extension of the project for two more years.
UNDP Country Office Progra	amme Officer: Is the UNDP programme officer in the UNDP country
office who provides oversig	ht and supervision support to the project.
MANDATORY RATING MUS Not necessary for regional of	T BE PROVIDED for projects under implementation in one country. or global projects.
delivery data in the ERBM p	d address the following points in your comments. The QORs and ortfolio project monitoring report should inform your rating. Please 00 words minimum and 1200 words maximum.
1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating. If your rating differs from the rating provided by the project manager please explain why.
2.	Summarize annual progress and address timeliness of project output/activity completion in relation to annual workplans.
3.	Outline the general status of project expenditures in relation to annual budgets, the effectiveness of project management units in guiding project implementation, and the responsiveness of the project board in overseeing project implementation.
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
Overall 2012 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
2013 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
Comments	The project financial delivery is on track and the AWP target of USD 660,000 was achieved in 2012. As indicated in the DO comments, 2012 was a year of change in the project implementation and management. There were changes in senior management as well as in the approach of the project. UNDP Country Office had to directly manage project activities and coordinate the UNDP Nagaland team in the absence of the Project Director and Coordinator for three months and six months respectively and supported smooth transition in the senior management under very challenging circumstances. Extension was also sought by UNDP for one of the project district officers who is on secondment from the state agriculture department. A new project director took over in September 2012 and a new Project Coordinator joined in early 2013. The PSC is headed by the new Chief Secretary of Nagaland and most of the PSC members are also new to the project. The PSC meeting was held in March 2013 under the new chairperson, who showed commitment and interest in the project. It was based on his suggestion that the project has requested an extension for two more years. At the district level, the project is a member of the District Planning Board, which is a platform for management and review of development activities in the respective districts and comprises of all

government departments. The board is headed by the District Collector. On recommendations of the Chief Secretary, a committee has also been formed within the Soil and Water Conservation Department to advise and guide the project. A brainstorming session was conducted with experts in jhum cultivation to review the projects progress and suggested a more integrated approach to the land based activities that were carried out in the project. Similarly, the mid-term review conducted in 2012, recommended integrated watershed based land use plan and suggested hiring a short term consultant to train the stakeholders on land use planning. Due to these unanticipated changes both in the management and in the project approach, there were changes in the Annual Work Plan and budget. Based on the above recommendations, an expert was hired in early 2013 to support strengthening of stakeholders and the project team on preparation of participatory land use plan. Trainings were conducted for the government officials working on land based activities, government field staff, communities and also the project team. A GEF review meeting was held in Delhi where the project progress was presented to the GEF Operational Focal Point and other relevant officials. The OFP has even suggested that a similar approach be carried out in GEF 6 under the Land Degradation theme.

Project Implementing Partner: Is the representative of the executing agency (in GEF terminology). This would be Government (for NEX/NIM execution) or NGO (for CSO Execution) or an official from the Executing Agency (for example UNOPS).

RECOMMENDED but NOT mandatory for projects under implementation in one country or regional projects.

Please justify your rating and address the following points in your comments. Please keep word count between 200 words minimum and 500 words maximum.

1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating.
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative.
3.	Provide recommendations for next steps.
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	
Overall 2012 Rating	
2013 Rating	
Comments	

GEF Operational Focal point: Is the government representative in the country designed as the GEF operation focal point.

MANDATORY RATING MUST BE PROVIDED for projects under implementation in one country.

Not necessary for region	al or global projects.
	and address the following points in your comments. Please keep words minimum and 500 words maximum.
1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating.
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative.
3.	Provide recommendations for next steps.
Other Partners: For joint	ly implemented projects, a representative of the other Agency working
	plementation (for example UNEP or the World Bank).
RECOMMENDED but NO	T mandatory for jointly implemented projects.
	g and address the following points in your comments. Please keep words minimum and 500 words maximum.
1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating.
2.	Note trends, both positive and negative.
3.	Provide recommendations for next steps.
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	
Overall 2012 Rating	
2013 Rating	
Comments	
UNDP Technical Adviser	: Is the UNDP-GEF Technical Adviser.
MANDATORY RATING M	UST BE PROVIDED for ALL projects.
delivery data in the ERBI	g and address the following points in your comments. The QORs and M portfolio project monitoring report should inform your rating. Please n 500 words minimum and 1200 words maximum.
1.	Explain why you gave a specific rating. If your rating differs from the rating provided by the UNDP Country Office Programme Officer and/or the Project Manager please explain why.
2.	Summarize annual progress and address timelines of project output/activity completion in relation to annual workplans.
3.	Outline the general status of project expenditures in relation to annual budgets, the effectiveness of project management units in

	T
	guiding project implementation, and the responsiveness of the project board in overseeing project implementation.
UNDP Technical Adviser	
Overall 2009 Rating	
Overall 2010 Rating	
Overall 2011 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
Overall 2012 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
2013 Rating	(S) Satisfactory
Lighty Satisfactory (US)	The implementation progress of the project is rated as S – satisfactory'. The project posted a number of key achievements during the reporting period. It has implemented several of the mid-term review recommendations, most notably shifting focus from supporting disparate field level activities to a coordinated and coherent package of technical and resource support delivered through the land use plans (at village and landscape levels). To this end it conducted several participatory planning exercises in different villages while also developing community-based, landscape level land use planning guidelines. Several SLM and soil improvement technologies were promoted including leguminous seeds and lime for restoration of soil fertility, improved jhum activities such as soil conservation measures, plantations, water conservation measures, plantation of helpful tree species such as Alder tree, tree beans, khokon and economically important crops such as cardamom. A comprehensive extension service was planned and delivered in partnership with other line Departments as well while also proving credit support to several households to help invest in soil improvement measures. These were important to ensure that interest in and support for SLM continues especially from the local communities. The project has been exemplary in the State in its engagement with key partners and in the practice of adaptive management. The Project Steering Committee (PSC) has meet regularly to provide it the strategic direction and ensure coordination among various line ministries. UNDP CO use of project monitoring and management tools are adequate while project financial delivery was well over the UNDP required target with 100 percent in 2012 and more than 30% by June 2013. In the next reporting period, the project is recommended to continue with land use planning activities while also paying attention to setting up institutional mechanisms both at the centre and local levels to coordinate and implement these plans. Taking advantage of its very
Highly Satisfactory (HS)	Implementation of all components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised implementation plan for the project. The project can be presented as 'good practice'.

Satisfactory (S)	Implementation of most components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan except for only few that are subject to remedial action.
Moderately Satisfactory (MS)	Implementation of some components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with some components requiring remedial action.
Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU)	Implementation of some components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with most components requiring remedial action.
Unsatisfactory (U)	Implementation of most components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.
Highly Unsatisfactory (HU)	Implementation of none of the components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.

PROGRESS IN PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

Outcome 1- Key Outputs this Reporting Period: The policy, regulatory and institutional environment in support of jhum agroforestry systems is strengthened

1. Conducted Participatory Land Use Plan using 3D Model in four project villages. Based on existing good practice guidelines on community-based, landscape level land use planning, specific guidelines were developed. The guidelines have outline the key steps and process for stakeholders to come together and discussed how to manage lands for the benefit of current and future generations and to ensure ecological sustainability of lands and resources. The purpose of the planning process was to develop management and governance strategies that respond to scientific understanding of natural and social systems as well as changing societal conditions and values. 2. Participatory Rural Appraisal conducted in nine villages of three project districts. 3. Project Steering Committee meeting held in the month of March 2013. The chairperson of the committee has recommended for extension of the project for another 2 years. 4. Conducted capacity building of government extension workers of agriculture and allied departments and village councils on participatory land use plan. 5. Participatory resource mapping and documentation of traditional land use practices carried out in all the project villages.

Outcome 2- Key Outputs this Reporting Period: Options for improving the sustainability of jhum agroforestry systems are developed and demonstrated in selected project sites (70 villages spread over the 3 districts of Mon, Mokokchung and Wokha in Nagaland)

1. Leguminous seeds and lime were distributed to the farmers for fallow management of jhum lands for restoration of soil fertility and for increase in income generations. 2. Improved jhum activities such as soil conservation measures, plantations, water conservation measures, livestock, beekeeping and soil emoluments were focused during the reporting period in 419.3 ha across the three project districts. 3. Plantation like Alder tree, tree beans, khokon, local fuel wood, bamboo, lentil, Naga neem, gooseberry, terminelia species, schima species, oak tree and leguminous crops and economically viable crops such as cardamom has been carried out for sustainable land and ecological management. 4. Build partnerships with other agriculture extension institutes to provide training to farmers and distribution of improved seeds.

Outcome 3- Key Outputs this Reporting Period: Enhanced capacity to replicate the projects policy reform and field-level experiences in other parts of Nagaland, as well as in other States of India, where shifting cultivation agro forestry systems are prevalent.

1. Request for assistance of GEF-UNDP SLEM project from 28 villages of three project districts and three other districts namely, Phek, Peren and Kiphire districts. 2. Request for extension of project for another two years has been submitted to Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India.

Adjustments

Adjustments to Project Milestones, Project Strategy and Risk Management.

Key Project Milestones

Have significant delays occurred in the project start, inception workshop, Mid-term Review, Terminal Evaluation or project duration?

Yes

If yes, were these changes reported in a previous APR/PIR?

No

Key project milestone	Scope of delay (in months)	Briefly describe change or reason for change	Briefly describe the implications or consequences this has had on project implementation
Project Start (i.e. project document signature date)			
Inception Workshop			
Mid-term Review			
Terminal Evaluation			
Project Duration (i.e. project extension)	24	The Chairperson of the Project Steering Committee during its last meeting held in the month of March 2013 has recommended for project extension for another two years till 2015, in order to meet the project objectives envisaged in the project document and in view of the promising results anticipated in the project extension request has been submitted to the GEF-OFP, Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India.	

Adjustments to Project Strategy

Has the project made any changes to its strategy (i.e. logframe/results framework) since the Project Document was signed?

If yes, were these changes reported in a previous APR/PIR?

Change Made to	Yes/No	Briefly describe the change and the reason for that change
Project Objective		
Project Outcomes		
Project Outputs/Activities		

Risk Management

List number of critical risks as noted in the ATLAS risk log and briefly describe actions undertaken this reporting period to address each critical risk.

# of Critical Risks (type/description)	Risk management measures undertaken this reporting period

Adjustments general comments:

UNDP CO Comment: No critical risk identified in this reporting period. RTA Comment: The reasons given to extend the project period by two years is valid (reference also to recommendation by the MTR). This should be however discussed at the next TPR meeting to ascertain potential implications including increase in management costs and how these will be absorbed. As is the established practice, the final approval for project extension has to be agreed and approved by the UNDP-GEF HQ.

Finance: cumulative from project start to June 30 2013

DISBURSEMENT OF GEF GRANT FUNDS

How much of the total GEF grant as noted in Project Document plus any project preparation grant has been spent so far? (e.g. PPG + MSP or FSP amount. Do not break down by PPG or project budget.)

E	stimated cumulative total disbursement	
as of 30 J	June 2013. (i.e.CDR information up to 20	2790877.00
June 201	3)	

Add any comments on GEF Grant Funds	Accumulative expenses from 2009-2012 =
	\$2,682,497, expenses Jan-June 2013 = \$108,380

DISBURSEMENT OF CO-FINANCING

How much of the total Co-financing as noted in Project Document has been spent so far? Co-financing is the amount committed in the project document for which co-financing letters are available

Estimated cumulative total co-financing disbursed as of 30 June this year. Please breakdown by donor.	2530000.00
Add any comments on co-financing including other types and amounts of additional co-financing such as in-kind, private sector, grants, credits and loans.	, ,

ADDITIONAL LEVERAGED RESOURCES

These additional resources can be from the same donors or new donors.

Estimated cumulative leveraged resources as of 30 June 2013	0.00
Add any comments on Leveraged Resources.	
Other Financial Instruments	

Does the project provide funds to other Financial Instruments?	N
If yes, please discuss developments that occurred this reporting period only.	

Communications and KM

Tell the Story of Your Project and What has been Achieved this Reporting Period

There is no simplistic view of how jhum cultivation is practiced in Nagaland; it is complex and there are variations from district to district and tribe to tribe. Jhum agriculture involves an intricate combination of land and labour management (or gainful employment) intertwined with strong cultural linkages. Land tenurial systems that differ across tribes and villages further intensify this complex set-up. While this form of agriculture has been practiced for centuries in Nagaland, the system is not static and has evolved in response to changing times, such as rising population and changes in land use practices fuelling an insatiable demand for food and fuelwood. Hence, any attempt to analyse any of these interlinked factors in isolation is unlikely to have a desired outcome. Thus, jhum cultivation cannot be described as a specific form of land use, but a range of land use strategies. These land use strategies are devised and adopted by communities in response to specific ecological conditions, size and number of family members willing and able to work on the field as well as combination of cash and no-cash required or consumed. Households adopt varied land use

strategies and the project has attempted to contribute to these land use strategies through an increasing set of options - individual households select specific interventions based on specific requirements of families. As seen in most of the project sites, the jhum cycle has reduced from 15-30 years to 7-9 years in the past few decades. More recently, the intensive energy and labour inputs to manage the jhum lands do not correspond with the yields that are now insufficient to meet a household's subsistence needs. This is confirmed by the local people and research studies that point out that that jhum cultivation is no longer productive and most agricultural lands have reached their carrying capacity. Moreover, in most parts of Nagaland, members of a household working in jhum fields have reduced, unlike a few years earlier when families with large number of children added to the agricultural labour force. This is largely due to the increasing income options and other opportunities, such as education, non-farm occupations, government services and other activities that require out-migration. Land ownership is rested on the Nagas and cannot be sold/transferred to non-Nagas. In this context, the project intends to bring out lessons for land use strategy which enables households to find gainful employment for their members and provide for cash and non-cash needs. Independent surveys have clearly established cash needs of rural households in independent India as a significant and growing consumption of the overall basket, in particular, spending on education, health care and travel. Milieu of jhum cultivation in Nagaland As described earlier, the average jhum cycle in Nagaland is 7-9 years. Traditionally, before the onset of the second year cropping, the villagers enter into the new jhum cycle for fresh slash and burn of the secondary forest for cultivation. Two jhum fields are managed at the same time by a farmer/household. The distant location of the second jhum land, intensive labour required to clear forests for new jhum cultivation, decline in soil fertility and lesser number of family members engaged in jhum, have dissuaded farmers from working in two different jhum lands. Traditionally, each household cultivates in the same jhum field for two years prior to leaving it fallow. The first year cropping is paddy combined with other agricultural crops, whereas the second year cropping is purely for paddy. The main crops grown in jhum fields range from 10-50 and most of these are mainly for household consumption. The surplus, if any, is sold in the local market. There are cases where jhum fields are abandoned after the first year, mainly due to labour constraints or decline in soil fertility. In view of this, the GEF-UNDP project attempts to achieve sustainable land management principles in 70 villages in three districts of Nagaland by addressing the complex mosaic of challenges posed by jhum agriculture. The project emphasizes the need for increased land productivity through soil fertility measures for higher yields, both in cultivated and fallow lands, to meet the demands of the rapidly growing population and to reduce pressure on natural and community forests. The project goal is to demonstrate and upscale sustainable land management practices. A mix of land use through an integrated approach combining improved soil and water conservation measures as well as intensive organic farming in jhum lands through traditional and scientific methods is promoted. As detailed out in the project document, livestock breeding is also carried out to diversify incomes under the sustainable agroforestry concept. One of the most significant steps in addressing soil erosion in any jhum land is contour bunding as this helps to arrest top soil loss on the sloping terrain. As an alternative to chemical fertilisers, azolla and vermin compost have been introduced to increase the productivity of jhum fields; the farm waste is used as feed for the livestock reared in the farms. Livestock and surplus farm produce are sold in the local markets to supplement the family's cash needs for education or healthcare. With the introduction of integrated farming, most project beneficiaries manage one jhum land while engaging in the integrated farm development. Improved soil fertility and moisture retention has helped farmers to cultivate in the same plot of land for three years and the beneficiaries are hopeful of cultivating for a few more years, till the soil fertility declines. This

improved jhum system has enriched soil moisture with qualitative improvement of crops grown in the contour bunds where top soil collects. To summarise, cultivating in the same plot of land for more than two years is a new practice resulting from increase in soil fertility. The soil conservation measures undertaken in the project through integrated farming concept in 18 different locations in Mon, Wokha and Mokukchung districts has evidently improved soil fertility and led to improved jhum practice, with the same land being cultivated for more than two years. The land will be left fallow after the soil loses its fertility. In addition, the participatory land use planning process that has been introduced in the project since 2012 has also helped the communities in making informed decisions related to land use management

Adaptive Management this Reporting Period

The recent experiences has shown that the communities are now actively involved in demonstration activities and adoption of improved approaches. Through introduction of participatory land use planning at landscape level, a village Land use Committee has been formed and guidelines for landscape level land use planning were developed. Participatory land use action plans have been prepared which will be implemented in the coming years. Resource user groups have been formed in different villages such as cardamom users group, tea users group, etc. This has helped the groups to unite as cooperatives and market their produce.

Lessons Learned

Promotion of cash crops such as tea plantation and rubber cultivation in an unplanned manner could pose a threat to food security and local ecosystem.
 The project has help build the capacities of local communities and institutions on participatory natural resource management.

Working with traditional institutions, such as the village councils enable strong community participation. 4. The project has helped to codify a comprehensive traditional land use management systems in some project villages.

PARTNERSHIPS

Civil Society Organisations/NGOs

The Village Councils, Village development boards, Women organisations and Student organisations are actively involved in planning and implementation of the project activities. They have also taken the full responsibilities for effective implementation of the Action Plan developed from the Land Use Plan exercise.

Indigenous Peoples

All the beneficiaries and stakeholders of the project are indigenous people. The project works with four tribes of Nagaland; Ao, Lotha, Konyak and Sumi. They are involved in planning and implementation of project activities. All project implementation decisions are taken in consultation with the Village Council which is the existing traditional institution comprising of the indigenous people. The Land Use Committees have been constituted in the project villages to empower them to plan, implement and monitor the project activities and to ensure continuity even after project completion.

Private Sector

n/a

GEF Small Grants Programme

n/a

Other Partners

PROGRESS IN ADDRESSING GENDER EQUALITY

Has a gender or social needs assessment been carried out?

Yes

If a gender or social assessment has been carried out what where the findings?

Social Assessment has been done through Participatory Rural Appraisal and Participatory Land Use Plan in nine project villages. Findings of the assessment report pointed out the absence of women in key decision making body- the village council, though they are members of other local bodies such as the Village Development Board, etc. Most of the project beneficiaries are women including majority of the members of the 30 Self Help Groups. Income from the sale of organic produce from jhum fields by women have increased by 15-20%.

Traditionally, Naga women have no land holding rights in the state, nor are they permitted to participate in Village Council meetings. At the same time their contribution to the family's income from Jhum farms is substantial. Women are more engaged in selling vegetables and seasonal crops from jhum fields, livestock rearing, handicraft and handloom, etc, men are largely employed as daily wage labourers in farm and off-farm activities.

Does this project specifically target women or girls as direct beneficiaries?

Yes

Have there been any changes in specifically targeting women or girls as direct beneficiaries this reporting period?

Yes

If yes, please explain:

There has been a significant change in terms of decision making and role of the women in the family and the society. Introduction of various income generation activities through women self-help groups has resulted in increase of annual family income. The women Self Help Groups have been trained in maintaining accounts, book keeping and sensitized about the purpose of SHGs and the concept of revolving fund and the potential for scaling it up into a micro-credit facility for the village. They have also been technical trained on forest and crop management.

Contribution to household income from women has increased leading to better education, health and better status in the family. Women are included as members of the Land Use Committee

through a consensus amongst the Village Council and the community. This inclusion of women in decision making bodies related to Land Use planning, management, evaluation and monitoring is perhaps the first of its kind in Nagaland

Please discuss any of the points above further or provide any other information on the project's work on gender equality undertaken this reporting period

Some points to consider: impact of project on daily workload of women, # of jobs created for women, impact of project on time spent by women in household activities, impact of project on primary school enrolment for girls/boys, increase in women's income etc. Be as specific as possible and provide real numbers (e.g. 100 women farmers participating in sustainable livelihoods programme).

ENVIRONMENTAL OR SOCIAL GRIEVANCE

What environmental or social issue was the grievance related to?

What is the current status of the grievance?

How would you rate the significance of the grievance?

Please describe the on-going or resolved grievance noting who was involved, what action was taken to resolve the grievance, how much time it took, and what you learned from managing the grievance process (maximum 500 words). If more than one grievance was addressed this reporting period, please explain the other grievance (s) here: